Appendix1 Call In Request Form Decision taken by: Cabinet * Please indicate Date of Decision 30/06/115. Title of agenda item/report Moving to Local Personalised Carer Respite- Update 1) Reason for Call In** evidence of the impact of the closure of Mountview on service provision is not yet available. It would be sensible to see whether the proposed strategy is working in the Congleton are before adopting the same strategy in Crewe and Macclesfield areas 2) Work is ongoing to establish a 'fair price' for respite care. The financial implications of the decision cannot be properly established until this work is complete The Shared Lives Care system will not cope with the extra workload that these proposals will cause 4) There is a direct conflict between the decision in June 2015 and point 3 of the resolution in December 2014. The implications for adults with learning disability should be established before any decision is taken to cease all 5) There will be a shortfall in bed vacancies and the people who currently use Hollins View and Lincoln House will have a reduced service. After contacting 11 Care Homes in Macclesfield and two in Bollington it was found that only 1 offered a pre-bookable service. The others will provide respite only if the beds are free and not as a regular service and two do not provide respite at all. We dispute the information provided re the provision in the private sector. 6) In December 2014 the Leader of the Council promised further consultation that has not happened. Call In Signatories (to be signed by 6 Members) Signed Councillor D Flude Signed Councillor S Brookfield..... Signed Councillor S Hogben..... Signed Councillor L Jeuda..... Signed Councillor D Bailey...... Signed Councillor.S Corcoran...... Date of call in Notice...09/07/15 stThe Call-in rules as set out in the constitution stipulate that any 6 or more Members of the C $m{ouncil}$ $m{may}$ $m{submit}$ $m{a}$ $m{call}$ in notice in writing within 5 days of the decision being taken and recorded. In giving reasons for the call in, Members should consider the following criteria: (1) Decision is taken outside the policy/budgetary framework (2) Inadequate consultation relating to the decision (3) Relevant information not considered (4) Viable alternatives not considered (5) Justification for the decision open to challenge on the basis of the evidence considered

Head of legal Services and Monitoring Officer Signature